Abstract

Process centered Software Engineering environments (PSEs) introduce new requirements on the repository capabilities. Modeling and managing capabilities must be offered to address product and process evolution problems.

We present in this paper a temporal data model designed to represent software artifacts, associations between them and their evolution over time. This model is called HOAM which stands for Historical Object Association Model. A high-level declarative language for querying a HOAM database is also presented.

This work has been achieved and it is currently experimented on the Adèle configuration management [EC94].

This work intends to benefit from temporal database domain by integrating these concepts into a framework for building Software Engineering Environments (SEE).
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1. Introduction

It has been well understood that product quality control can be achieved only if the process by which software is produced is itself controlled. But for measuring, evaluating, controlling, and improving the software process, extended traceability services are needed. This traceability needs the recording of intermediate product and process states all along the software production process (i.e. during a very long time).

In Software engineering, versioning has been the natural answer for recording intermediate product states. But still there are several difficulties. Versioning is considered a mechanism, not a concept; and thus is used for many unrelated purposes: cooperative works, copies, transient or work version, variants, histories, etc. There is a confusion about the concept involved [Sci91]. Applications must define themselves their own concept of version, they must define the semantics for creating and retrieving versions, and they must also assume the same understanding from other applications which share the same objects.

We proposed in [EC95] a clear separation between three version concepts: historical, logical and cooperative. We showed that these versioning dimensions are orthogonal:

- **Historical**: it contains the evolution of the object according to the time dimension. It is to be used for recording intermediate object values and for extended traceability.

- **Logical**: objects may exist simultaneously in multiple variants for logical reasons.

- **Cooperative**: multiple and concurrent activities are taking place in an SEE. At a given moment in time, concurrent activities may have a cooperative version of the same object.

In this paper, we present our proposal to manage the historical dimension. It is based on a temporal object model called HOAM which stands for Historical Object Association Model (cf. section §2). For the other two
A question that arises when dealing with temporal databases in the context of object-oriented systems is whether to associate time with attributes or with objects.

**Attribute timestamp**, as a first solution, has the advantage that information is not duplicated between states. This approach has been chosen in [Gad88] [EW90]. The major shortcoming is time overhead in processing data to manipulate non-normalized structures. **Object timestamp**, as a second solution, gives to the notion of state an essential role. Its advantage is the possibility of considering states as objects (first class objects) and then, referenced them, established associations with them, etc. It has been chosen in [Sno93] [JS92] [SC91] and also in HOAM where the problem of duplicated information is solved with a delta mechanism [Tic85] [SC91].

In software engineering it is necessary to represent the history of associations between objects during a period of time (e.g. "last month user Smith was responsible of code activity") but also, it is necessary to represent associations between objects belonging to different periods of time (e.g. "create a software configuration, namely release4.1, composed by the program objects tested last month"). Our model allows to manage with both kinds of associations, called temporal and non temporal respectively.

Furthermore, a high-level declarative temporal query language has been defined for querying historical data. This language is based on path expressions and filter notions (cf. section §3).

2. The data model

2.1 Core model

The core model is object-oriented. This paradigm is well suited for representing software components and associations between them. Despite the high grain variability of software components, all entities are represented in a uniform way. Objects can represent files, activities, functions as well as simple values like strings or dates. Associations are independent entities (external to objects) because they model relationships with a different semantics such as derivation, dependency or composition. An association is established from an origin object to a destination object, i.e. associations are directed.

Objects and associations are typed. A type describes the common structure (attribute definitions) and behavior (methods) of its instances. Association types describe also the association domain, i.e. between which object types the association can be established.

2.2 Historical Objects

Based on the core model we have defined a temporal data model called HOAM.

In our model, we consider only one dimension of time. We assume that time consists of discrete equidistant instants, T= \{0,1,2,\ldots, now\} together with the chronological ordering <.

A **historical object** is a sequence of states. Each state is an object (as in the core model) and its value corresponds to the historical object value during a time interval. This interval defines the lifespan of the state. An instance of historical-of T type is a historical object defined by:

\[
Oh = \langle oid, [state_0, state_1, \ldots, state_n]\rangle
\]

\textit{oid} is the historical object identifier and \([state_0, state_1, \ldots, state_n]\) is the value of \textit{OH}, i.e. a sequence of states of type \textit{T} (cf. fig. 1).
Continuity assumption

Continuity assumption is taken in this model, i.e., attributes values are valid from the beginning of one state until just before the next one [CW81]. So, the value of \( OH \) at time \( t \) is defined by:

\[
\text{value}(OH,t) = \text{value}(\text{state}(OH,t_k))
\]

Lifespan

Users can stop the evolution of a historical object. In this case, we say that this historical object is dead.

Life span function on a historical object returns the interval during which the object has been considered alive: \( [t_0, t_{\text{dead}}] \), if it is dead \( [t_0, \text{now}] \) otherwise.

When a historical object \( OH \) is alive, the last state created is called the current state. If this state was created at instant \( t_n \), its value is valid during \( [t_n, \text{now}] \) as a consequence of the continuity assumption. This interval corresponds to its lifespan.

The \textit{lifespan} function returns the interval during which a state object was the current state. If \( \text{states}_0 \text{state}_1 \ldots \text{state}_n \) Then,

- \( \text{lifespan}(\text{state}_i) = [t_k, t_{k+1}] \) where \( t_k, t_{k+1} \) are the instants of creation of the successive states: \( \text{state}_k \text{and state}_{k+1} \)

- If \( OH \) is alive, \( \text{lifespan}(\text{state}_n) = [t_n, \text{now}] \), else,
  \( \text{lifespan}(\text{state}_n) = [t_n, t_{\text{dead}}] \), where \( t_{\text{dead}} \) is the dead instant of \( OH \).

- \( \forall i, j \text{ if} \text{lifespan}(\text{state}_i) \cap \text{lifespan}(\text{state}_j) = \emptyset \)

Example

Our example describes a database of software development projects. Entities managed here are projects, agents, activities and programs. A project has a manager (an agent). Agents are responsible for activities. For the sake of simplicity, only the coding activities are here taken into account. The properties of an activity (coding activity) are respectively its input and its output configurations. A configuration is a set of programs and a program is an object which represents a source code file or a binary file.

In our example, the project, called TDBP (Temporal DataBase Project), was created in 1990. Between 1990 and 1992 its \textit{budget} was $10M and, in 1993, it was raised to $20M. The \textit{duration} of the project has been estimated to 24 months but in 1992 it has been augmented to 32 months and in 1995 to 42 months (cf. fig. 2).

Some attributes are not characteristics of any specific state but of the historical object as a whole. For instance, while \textit{budget} and \textit{duration} are properties of each state, \textit{creation date} and \textit{name} project are two properties of the historical object.

Our model provides \textit{common} and \textit{immutable} attributes concepts. The former are used to represent properties shared by all states. The latter are used to specify properties of each state. Between two successive states, there is at least one immutable attribute which has changed. Updating an \textit{immutable} attribute creates automatically a new state of the object. The simultaneous update of more than one
immutable attribute needs to be done in the same transaction. It is the classic Check-in Check-out paradigm [Fei91], i.e., for changing an attribute of an object, the object is treated out of the control of the system (Check-out) and then, a new state is created with the new attribute values which are given (Check-in).

Figure 2  A TDBP historical object.

2.3 Associations

A historical database must manage not only the evolution of objects, but also the history of the relationships among objects. For instance, in a historical database of employees, it is essential to maintain information such as “during the summer 1995 employee Smith worked for the Toys department” or “Smith was the manager of the toys department between 1994 and 1995”. These examples show relationships (work-for, manage-by) which exist in the real world.

In the example of the figure 3, the managed-by association is a temporal association. It allows to indicate the relationship between a project and its boss. For instance, the manager of the TDBP between 1990-1993 and since 1995 is the agent named Peter. Between 1993 and 1995, agent Peter was the manager of the project SCMP (Software Configuration Management Project).

As it is presented in [WD93], a relationship between two historical objects can exist only if both participating objects are alive. That is a temporal constraint which extends the classical referential integrity constraint.

In HOAM, a temporal association can be established between two historical objects. It is defined by a validity span which determines the periods of time during which the association is valid. This period of time is a temporal element [JEG+94].

The associations managed-by(TDBP, Peter) and managed-by(SCMP, Peter) were valid during $\{90-01-01,93-01-01\}$, $\{95-01-01, now\}$ and $\{93-01-01,95-01-01\}$ respectively, since during these periods the objects (TDBP and Peter or SCMP and Peter) were alive.

In the context of software engineering, we must also establish associations between objects without temporal constraint. We called these associations non temporal associations. The intersection of participating objects lifespan can be empty.

In the example of the figure 4, the component association is a non-temporal association. An instance of a component association can be established between a state of a configuration object and a state of a program object. In the example, there are three instances of the component association. All have for origin state($rel-4.1, t_2$) and the three destinations are: state($P_1.t_2$), state($P_2.t_2$) and state($P_3.t_2$).
Note that the intersection between \textit{state}(rel-4.t), lifespan and \textit{state}(P_3 t), lifespan is empty.

A non-temporal associations can be established between a historical object/state object and historical object/state object (cf. fig. 5).

For the sake of simplicity and when the confusion does not arise, we will call object for historical object and state for state object.

3. The query language

HOAL is the query language proposed for querying a database modeled in HOAM. Objects, states and associations can be seen as graphs. The language uses this fact to reach the instances by navigation through graphs. Navigation can be achieved through both temporal and non-temporal associations in a similar syntactic way.

HOAL also offers a filtering mechanism for selecting the instances to which operations will apply.

In this section we first present basic operators to access historical objects and states object. Then, we describe the filtering mechanism and finally, we show the navigation through associations.

3.1 Objects

3.1.1 Historical references

An object is managed via \textit{historical references}. A historical reference is defined by:

\[
\text{HistRef} = < \text{oid-OH} \ \text{VS}>
\]

where \textit{oid-OH} is the historical object identifier and \textit{VS} is the visibility span on this object. The \textit{VS} is defined by a temporal element and determines the periods of time during which the object is observed. For an object there can be several \textit{historical references}. 
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3.1.2 Historical references and temporal associations

Temporal associations can be established only between (historical) objects. Each object participating in the association is designed by a historical reference. The temporal constraint signifies that the visibility span of both historical references is the same. In the example of the managed-by association (cf. fig. 3), the corresponding historical references are:

<TDBP, [{90-01-01, 93-01-01}, [95-01-01, now)}>  
<Peter, [{90-01-01, 93-01-01}, [95-01-01, now}]>

The validity span of the association corresponds to the visibility span of the historical references.

3.1.3 Access to objects

The language offers methods to access the visibility span, the object and the states of an object designed by a historical reference. Suppose a historical reference called Peter-TDBP which is a reference to the object Peter during the time when he was in relationship with TDBP object:

Peter-TDBP= <Peter, [{90-01-01, 93-01-01}, [95-01-01, now]>

one can apply the method VisibilitySpan:

Peter-TDBP.VisibilitySpan

which returns the temporal element: [{90-01-01, 93-01-01}, [95-01-01, now]}. Also, one can apply the method HistObj:

Peter-TDBP.HistObj

which returns a historical reference:

<oid-OH, oid-OH.lifespan>

To access states, HOAL offers the First and Last methods. For instance,

Peter-TDBP.First

returns the first visible state through the historical reference, while,

Peter-TDBP.Last

returns the last one.

The AllStates method allows to transform a sequence of states into a set of states. For instance,

TDBP.AllStates

{TDBP@90-01-01, TDBP@92-01-01, TDBP@93-01-01, TDBP@95-01-01}

The "@" operator can apply to a historical reference to access a particular state. Its argument is a time expression t. For instance,

Peter-TDBP@90-01-01

an exception is produced if the t expression is not into the visibility span of the historical reference.

3.2 States

In a state object, one can access the interval which defines the lifespan of the state, the successor or the predecessor state and also, we can access attribute values. If state_ref is a state reference, state_ref.TimeStart and state_ref.TimeEnd return the instants which define its lifespan (cf. section §2.2). One can get the successor and predecessor of one state using state_ref.successor and state_ref.predecessor.
In order to access attribute values, the point operator has been defined: state_ref.attr. A query example is,

Q1. What was the first budget of the TDBP project?

\[ \text{TDBP.First.budget \quad \text{return} \quad \$10M} \]

Q2. What was the role of agent Peter when the TDBP project began?

\[ \text{Peter@(TDBP.First.TimeStart).role} \]

3.3 Types conversion

State to historical reference

A type conversion from a state to a historical reference occurs when in a HOAL expression a value of type historical reference is expected and a value of state is found. In this case, state is converted to a historical reference consisting of the object, to which the state belongs, and of the state lifespan as visibility span.

Historical reference to state

A historical reference is converted to state giving the reference to the last visible state through the historical reference. With this conversion rule, the expression:

\[ \text{OH.attr} \]

is equivalent to:

\[ \text{OH.attr} = \text{OH.Last.attr} \]

Q3. What is the budget of the TDBP project?

\[ \text{TDBP.Budget} \]

The conversion of TDBP to a state object is equivalent to:

Q4. What is the current budget of the TDBP project?

\[ \text{TDBP.Last.Budget \quad \text{return} \quad 20} \]

3.4 Filters, sets and historical references

The HOAL filter expression:

\[ S [\text{Filter}] \]

is interpreted according to the type of s. A filter is used for different purposes depending on whether it applies to historical references, to a set of historical references or to a set of states.

The role of a filter on a historical reference, called temporal filter, is to restrict the visibility span of the original reference.

A Filter on a set of states selects elements among the set which satisfy it, we can say that the filter restricts the cardinality of the original set.

A Filter on a set of historical references applies to each element of the set as a temporal filter.

Before presenting each case, some definitions are given. These definitions are important to understand the interpretation of \( S [\text{Filter}] \) HOAL expression according S type.
On sets, two special operators have been defined: filters and image. Let \( \{ \} \) to be the filter operator so that:

\[
S \{ P \} = \{ x \mid x \in S \text{ and } P(x) \}
\]

returns the subset of the elements of \( S \) which satisfy the predicate \( P \). For instance, if we want to select the elements minor to "4" in the set of integers \{2,3,4\}:

\[
\{2,3,4\} \{ \text{this} < 4 \}
\]

where \text{this} represents an element of the set
the result is the set \{2,3\}.

Let \text{map} be the image operator such that \( S \text{ map } F \) signifies compute the image of the set \( S \) by the function \( F \):

\[
S \text{ map } F = \{ x' \mid x' = F(x) \text{ and } x \in S \}
\]

For instance, if we want to add 2 to each element into the set of integers \{2,3,4\}, we must compute the image set:

\[
\{2,3,4\} \text{ map } (\text{this}+2)
\]

the result is the set \{4, 5, 6\}.

### 3.4.1 Temporal filter

A temporal filter applies to a historical reference in order to restrict the visibility span of the historical object to intervals which satisfy a predicate. For instance, if \( TDBP \) is a historical reference defined by:

\[
<TDBP, \{[90-01-01..now]\}>
\]

the HOAL expression,

\[
TDBP[budget > 10]
\]

is evaluated as follows: the predicate \( \text{budget} > 10 \) is tested on each visible state through the historical reference. Here, the result is the new historical reference:

\[
<TDBP, \{[93-01-01..now]\}>
\]

Generally, when a temporal filter defined by a predicate \( p \) applies to a historical reference \( rh \), note \( rh[p] \), it returns a historical reference \( rh' \) so that its visibility span is computed as follows. If \( p\text{-states} \) set corresponds to the set of states in \( rh \) which verify the predicate \( p \):

\[
p\text{-states} = \{ e \mid e \in rh.\text{HistObj.\textit{Allstates}} \text{ and } p(e) \}
\]

and \( \{e_i.\text{lifeSpan} \} \) is the temporal element (a set of one interval of time) corresponds to the lifespan of the state \( e_i \). Then,

\[
rh'.\text{VisibilitySpan} = rh.\text{VisibilitySpan} \cap ( \bigcup \{e_i.\text{lifeSpan} \})
\]

Compared to the language presented in \([CG93]\), the new \text{VisibilitySpan} corresponds to temporal domain returned by the temporal expression \([\text{budget}(TDBP) > 10]\)^1.

### 3.4.2 Filter on set of states

Let the set \( TDBP.\text{AllStates} \). The HOAL expression:

\[
TDBP.\text{AllStates} [\text{budget} < 10]
\]

is interpreted as \( S[P] \) where \( S \) is \( TDBP.\text{AllStates} \) and \( P \) is \( \text{budget} < 10 \):

\[
TDBP.\text{AllStates} [\text{this.budget} < 10] = \{ TDBP[90-01-01], TDBP[92-01-01] \}
\]

Thus, when in the HOAL expression \( S[\text{Filter}] \), \( S \) is a set of states objects, it is interpreted as: \( S \mid \text{Filter} \)

---

1 In \([CG93]\), \([P(o)]\) is a temporal expression which returns the temporal domain of property \( P \) of an object \( o \).
3.4.3 Filter on set of historical references

Let \textit{TheProjects} be a set of historical references of type \textit{historic-of Project}. The HOAL expression:

\[ \text{TheProjects} \{ \text{Budget} > 10 \text{ and kind = ESPRIT} \} \]

returns the set of ESPRIT projects:

\[ \{ p' \mid p' = p, \text{Budget} > 10 \text{ and p.kind = ESPRIT} \text{ and } p \in \text{TheProjects} \} \]

Thus, when in the HOAL expression \( S[\text{Filter}] \), \( S \) is a set of historical references, it is interpreted by:

\[ S_{\text{attr}} \text{ map } P \text{ i.e., } \{ x' \mid x' = x \{ P \} \text{ and } x \in S \} \]

3.5 Navigation

Path expressions describe paths along the graphs formed by objects, states and associations between them. For instance, in the figure 6 there is an association, called \( A \), between \( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \) objects and another one \( B \) between \( O_2 \) and \( O_3 \) objects. The path expression \( O_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \)

returns the object \( O_3 \). \( O_1 \) object is called the root object of the path expression, and \( A \) and \( B \) are associations.

Path expressions are proposed to ease the task of accessing objects [FLU94] [KKS92]: following links between objects without having to write down explicit join conditions. This kind of languages is well-suited for software engineering environments because, generally in these environments, users know external object names and access them directly or through links [BMT88]. For instance, a typical query can be “give me all the objects \textit{depending from the string_mgr} module” or “give me the \textit{components} of the input configuration of the MMM activity”.

3.5.1 Through non-temporal associations

In general, for an object \( \text{Root} \) which represents a set of references to objects, and an association \( A \) whose domain type: \( T_{A_{\text{origin}}} \) for the origin objects and \( T_{A_{\text{dest}}} \) for the destination objects, then the path expression \( E \):

\[ E = \text{Root}\rightarrow A \quad (\text{resp. } E = \text{Root}\rightarrow A) \]

returns a set of objects of type \( T_{A_{\text{dest}}} \) (resp. \( T_{A_{\text{origin}}} \)).

If \( A \) is a \textit{non-temporal} association then \( \text{Root} \) should contain a set of states or a set of historical objects according to \( T_{A_{\text{origin}}} \) type. Conversion types rules (cf. section §3.3) will be used if necessary.

For example, let us consider an activity called \textit{MMM} (Memory Management Module development). In figure 7, the current state of \textit{MMM} activity has for its \textit{input} attribute value an \textit{old} state of the configuration called
This state configuration has for component a state of the program called $P_1$.

![Figure 7 Non-temporal associations.](image)

The query "what are the modules of the input configuration of the MMM activity?" Can be expressed by the HOAL expression:

$$\text{MMM} \rightarrow \text{input} \rightarrow \text{component}$$

The input association has state activities for origin domain and state configurations for destination domain. Then MMM is converted to a state. This is equivalent to rewrite the query as: "what are the modules of the current configuration input of the MMM activity?"

$$\text{MMM}@\text{Last} \rightarrow \text{input} \rightarrow \text{component}$$

This expression returns a set of Program states. In the example, this set is:

$\{P_1@\text{91-01-01}\}$

In the HOAL expression:

$$\text{MMM}@\text{Last} \rightarrow \text{input} \rightarrow \text{component} \rightarrow \text{depend-on}$$

A conversion from the state program object to the historical program object is achieved in order to reach the programs on which the configuration components depend.

### 3.5.2 Through Temporal associations

Navigating through temporal associations implies restraint the visibility span on historical objects. Let us show it first in an example, and then, let us give the general case.

The agent Peter was during his life-span responsible for two activities: during 1990 and 1992, MMM, and after, between 1992 and now, GUI (graphic user interface development) (cf. fig. 8).

We are interested by queries:

Q5. What is the last budget of the projects managed by agent Peter?

The HOAL expression which corresponds to this query is:

$$\text{Peter} \leftarrow \text{managed-by.budget}$$

This expression is interpreted as follows: $\text{Peter} \leftarrow \text{managed-by.budget}$ return the set of historical references:

$$\{\text{TDBP}_{\text{Peter}}, \text{SCMP}_{\text{Peter}}\} \text{ where }$$

$$\text{TDBP}_{\text{Peter}} = <\text{PBDT}. \{90-01-01, 92-01-01, 95-01-01, \text{now}\}> \text{ and }$$

$$\text{SCMP}_{\text{Peter}} = <\text{SCMP}. \{93-01-01, 95-01-01\}>$$

Point operators on each historical reference,

$$\{\text{TDBP}_{\text{Peter}}, \text{budget}, \text{SCMP}_{\text{Peter}}, \text{budget}\}$$

As consequence of casting types:
Generalization

Let us define the path expression as:

\[ E_n = E_{n-1} \leftrightarrow A \]

\( E_n \) is a set of historical references on the instance of \( T_{\text{Origin}} \) or \( T_{\text{Dest}} \). Type depends on sense of navigation (\( \rightarrow \), \( \leftarrow \)).

When a temporal association is crossed, its visibility span is reduced. In a general manner, let us assume that \( E_{n-1} \) contains the set of historical references:

\[ E_{n-1} = \{ rh-O_1, rh-O_2, \ldots, rh-O_m \} \]

For each \( rh-O_k \) in \( E_{n-1} \):

\[ rh-O_k \rightarrow A \]

let us assume that \( rh-O_k, HistObj = O_k \) is an object contained into the set \( E_{n-1} \) and also, there are many \( A \) associations from \( O_k \)

all \( D^k \) destination objects are into the result set \( E_n \). The visibility span is defined by:

\[ rh-O_k = \langle O_k, VS_{\text{OK}} \rangle \]

the validity span of the temporal associations \( A \) between \( O_k^k \) and \( D_j \) is:

\[ \text{ValiditySpan} (A (O_k, D_j^k)) \]

the new visibility span of the object \( D_{ij} \) is defined by:

\[ VS_{D_j} = VS_{\text{OK}} \cap \text{ValiditySpan} (A (O_k, D_j^k)) \]

For instance, into \( \text{TDBP} \rightarrow \text{managed-by[nom = “Peter”]} \) expression, the start historical reference is the historical object TDBP and the visibility span is:

![Diagram showing navigation through temporal associations](image-url)
The validity span of manager-by association between TDBP and Peter objects is:

$$\text{ValiditySpan(managed_by(PDBT, Peter))} = \{[90-01-01, 93-01-01], [95-01-01, now]\}$$

the new historical reference is:

$$\text{newre,¡:Peter} = \langle \text{oid-Peter, VSPeter}\rangle$$

such as:

$$\text{VSPeter} = \text{ValiditySpan(chef(PDBT, Peter))} = \{[90-01-01, 93-01-01], [95-01-01, now]\}$$

4. Conclusions

In this paper we have presented a temporal data model called HOAM. In conjunction with the temporal model, we have presented a query language HOAL to information retrieval. The HOAL language allows each HOAM concept to be exploited and, in particular, the historical concepts. HOAL is based on two notions: path expressions and filters.

In the context of software configuration management, file revisions is the unique aspect taken into account in almost all software configuration managers. HOAM overtake this aspect.

There has been a lot of researches in temporal database domain during the past 15 years. Most of these works are based on the relational model. Works like [BJS95] show that the field is mature enough. Unfortunately, in the case of object-oriented models, it is less true. Although important works has be done [SC91] [Sno95] [NMY93] [RS93], and a lot of works still needs to be pursued.

Our work is based on a object-oriented model [EC94] because in software engineering context due to complex data, object-oriented model are the most appropriate one [Ber87].

According to the representation matrix proposed in [EKF93] to classify temporal objects models, our model is at the intersection between object versioning and relationships object representation.

The main difference between our model and temporal object models, like those presented in [Sno95], is the way we have integrated the relationships (associations). A particularity of HOAM is both temporal and non temporal associations.

The context of our work has been the Adéle software configuration management. At the moment, the main goal of the Adéle project is to define and implement a kernel to build process software engineering environments. We need to provide high level concepts and mechanism, but hiding the complexity of the involved technology. Our work goes in this direction.

We have implemented a prototype of HOAM and HOAL by:

- using and adapting several components of the Adéle kernel, and,
- implementing the new data types of HOAM a nd HOAL : intervals and temporal elements.
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